

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viguria 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/003,850 11/02/2001 Sreekumar Pillai J6673(C) 6359 201 06/20/2003 **UNILEVER EXAMINER** PATENT DEPARTMENT TRAVERS, RUSSELL S **45 RIVER ROAD** EDGEWATER, NJ 07020 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1617

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/003,850 Applicant(s)

Pillai et al

Examiner

R.S. Travers J.D., Ph.D.

Art Unit **1617**



| — The MAILING D. | ATE of this communication | appears on the cover sh | eet witl | h the correspondence address | |
|---|---|---|-------------------|--|----|
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUT THE MAILING DATE OF | Y IS SET TO EXPIRE I. | 1 | MONTH(S) FROM | | |
| Extensions of time may be availal mailing date of this communication | ole under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 | .136 (a). In no event, however, n | nay a reply | be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | |
| - If the period for reply specified ab | ove is less than thirty (30) days, a re | aply within the statutory minimum | of thirty (| 30) days will be considered timely. | |
| Failure to reply within the set or e | extended period for reply will, by stat | ute, cause the application to become | ne ABANI | from the mailing date of this communication. | |
| Any reply received by the Office learned patent term adjustment. | ater than three months after the mail | ling date of this communication, e | ven if time | ly filed, may reduce any | |
| Status | | | | | |
| | mmunication(s) filed on _ | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FIN | AL . 2b) 💢 | This action is non-final | | | |
| 3) Since this application closed in accordance | tion is in condition for allonce with the practice und | owance except for form fer <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19 | al matt 35 C.D | ters, prosecution as to the merits is . 11; 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> | | | | is/are pending in the application. | |
| 4a) Of the above, o | laim(s) | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) | | | | is/are allowed. | |
| 6) 🗆 Claim(s) | | | н | is/are rejected. | |
| | | | | is/are objected to. | |
| 8) 💢 Claims <u>1-8</u> | | | | t to restriction and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | | | · | |
| 9) \square The specification | is objected to by the Exar | miner. | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) file | ed on | is/are a) 🗆 accepte | d or b) | objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed draw | wing correction filed on _ | is: | a) 🗌 : | approved b) \square disapproved by the Examine | r. |
| If approved, corre | cted drawings are required | in reply to this Office act | ion. | | |
| 12) The oath or declar | ration is objected to by th | ne Examiner. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgemen | | oreign priority under 35 | U.S.C. | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) □ All b) □ Some | | | | | |
| 1. U Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the applic | e certified copies of the peration from the Internation | riority documents have nal Bureau (PCT Rule 1: | been re | eceived in this National Stage | |
| *See the attached det | ailed Office action for a l | ist of the certified copie | s not r | eceived. | |
| | t is made of a claim for d | | | | İ |
| | of the foreign language pr | | | | |
| 15) ☐ Acknowledgement | t is made of a claim for d | omestic priority under 3 | 5 U.S. | C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PT | | | | 0-413) Paper No(s) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Paten | | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Stateme | тк(s) (P10-1449) Paper No(s) | 6) | | | |

Art Unit:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-2 and 5-6, drawn to a stable skin care composition containing retinoid compounds and retinoid boosters.
- II. Claims 3 and 7, drawn to a method for conditioning skin by applying a stable skin care composition containing retinoid compounds and retinoid boosters.
 - III. Claims 4 and 8, drawn to a method of mimicking the effects of retinoic acid.

Claims contained in Groups I-III are directed to patentably unrelated compositions of matter and therapeutic methods employing a plurality of patentably distinct compound species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed invention, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

The above delineated inventions differ as compositions of matter and unrelated therapeutic methods; and are independent and patentably distinct each from the other. The grouped inventions patentably distinct, a reference which would anticipate, or make obvious, the inventions of groups I-III would not necessarily obviate or anticipate

Application/Control Number: 10/003,850

Art Unit:

the inventions in the other group. The searches are not co-inclusive as indicated by the diverse nature of the subject matter, thus, would represent an undue burden on Examiner. One skilled in the art would readily practice the invention of one of the above groups with out infringing and or practicing the invention of another group. The subject matter is unique and has acquired a separate status in the art and is fully capable of supporting separate patents. For the foregoing reasons restriction is proper for examination purposes.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of the claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor if at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Russell Travers at telephone number (703) 308-4603.

> Russell Travers Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

Page 3